Please note: In technical writing, one should consider the criteria in relation to: clarity, objective-driven language, understandability (target audience), evidence and supported claims, etc.

Score	Clarity of Themes/ Concerns / Questions	Relationship between Form and Content	Quality of overall writing	Originality & Contribution
1	Not clear / confused	Formal imperative is unclear	Writing is sloppy and/or grammatically incorrect and/or full of typos	It is unclear what aspects of the work are the author's ideas and what aspects are from other sources.
2	Some clarity but many deviations that confuse the reader or make the piece hard to follow at times	Formal imperative is clear at times but loses focus	Writing has a few awkward, grammatically incorrect moments, but also demonstrates some proficiency	Themes are somewhat tied together by the author's own writing, but these connections are somewhat unclear or could be strengthened.
3	Clear but occasionally loses focus of overall objective.	Formal imperative is generally clear with a few moments of confusion throughout	The writing has few errors, inconsistent syntax or typos.	The writing balances summarize previous work and the author's own explanations, but this balance could be improved.
4	Clear and consistent throughout.	Formal imperative remains consistent	Writing is without errors, inconsistent syntax or typos and is polished and eloquent	Themes are clear in the writing, and the balance between summarized prior work and the author's explanations is good. Contributions could be strengthened, as it is somewhat unclear what the reader gains by using this document rather than other original sources. is helpful could be strengthened.
5	The work has a clear objective, and the final document fully realizes that objective. Themes are clear.	Piece never loses sight of its formal imperative except to challenge the reader as a reflection of its textual concerns	Writing is highly polished and eloquent, demonstrating an exceptional command of the language	Themes are clear in the writing, and the balance between summarized prior work and the author's explanations is excellent. The contribution of the current piece is strong, and this work is clearly beneficial for the reader.